

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LEE E. HAGGERTY,

No. 2:23-cv-02943-TLN-CKD

Plaintiff,

v.

ORDER

ROB ST. ANDRE,

Defendant.

Plaintiff Lee E. Haggerty (“Plaintiff”), a state prisoner proceeding *pro se*, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On January 3, 2025, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on Plaintiff and which contained notice to Plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. (ECF No. 22.) Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge’s conclusions of law are reviewed *de novo*. *See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist.*, 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having reviewed the file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge’s analysis.

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 22) filed January 3, 2025 are adopted in
3 full; and
4 2. This action is dismissed without prejudice.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 Date: February 12, 2025

7
8 
9
10 TROY L. NUNLEY
11 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28